



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,707	10/29/2003	Evan R. Kirshenbaum	200207641-1	5552
22879	7590 04/26/200	5	EXAMINER	
	PACKARD COMP	HOLMES, MICHAEL B		
	P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			PAPER NUMBER
FORT COLI	LINS, CO 80527-240	0	2121	
			DATE MAILED: 04/26/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Antion Comments	10/695,707	KIRSHENBAUM, EVAN R.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael B. Holmes	2121	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' e, cause the application to become AB.	ATION.  ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 C	October 2003.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	s
closed in accordance with the practice under E		•	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		3	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers		J	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 October 2003</u> is/are		iected to by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			۹)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	u).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·	•	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, processy among the discounty	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		plication No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
application from the International Bureau		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • •	eceived.	•
	,		
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview St	immary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		onnal Patent Application (PTC)-152\	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•	

Application/Control Number: 10/695,707

Art Unit: 2121



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 - www.uspto.gov

## Examiner's Detailed Office Action

1. Claims 1-33 have been examined.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 3. The invention as disclosed in claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being non-statutory subject matter.
- 4. Claims 1-17 appears to be directed to an abstract idea rather than a practical application of an abstract idea which would produce a "useful, concrete or tangible results." Specifically, the method does not appear in any active steps needing to take place beyond thinking about the process with actually applying the process to a real-world practical application. Moreover, the claims as currently written, also appears to be to possess no basis for repeatability or assured results which would enable the method to provide a concrete results.
- 5. Moreover, the claimed invention as a whole must accomplish a practical application i.e., it must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result." As per claims 1-33, of which, is silent

Application/Control Number: 10/695,707

Art Unit: 2121

regarding a practical application, and thus, is insufficient to establish a real world "tangible" result. Devoid of such it qualifies applicant's claimed invention as an abstract idea e.g., a computational model or a mathematical manipulation of a function or equation, or whatever, as such, a process that merely manipulates an abstract idea or performs a purely mathematical algorithm is non-statutory despite the fact that it might inherently have some usefulness. In re Sarkar, 588 F.2d at 1335, 200 USPQ at 139, the court explained why this approach must be followed:

Page 3

No mathematical equation can be used, as a practical matter, without establishing and substituting values for the variables expressed therein. Substitution of values dictated by the formula has thus been viewed as a form of mathematical step. If the steps of gathering and substituting values were alone sufficient, every mathematical equation, formula, or algorithm having any practical use would be per se subject to patenting as a "process" under 101. Consideration of whether the substitution of specific values is enough to convert the disembodied ideas present in the formula into an embodiment of those ideas, or into an application of the formula, is foreclosed by the current state of the law.

6. A claim is limited to a practical application when the method or system, as claimed, produces a concrete, tangible and useful result; i.e., the method recites a step or act of producing something that is concrete, tangible and useful. See AT &T, 172 F.3d at 1358, 50 USPQ2d at 1452. See MPEP § 2106(IV) Applicant is advised to make the appropriate corrections in an attempt to gain patentability. The claimed invention as a whole must accomplish a practical application. That is, it must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result." State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02. Remember, the claims define the property rights provided by a patent, and thus require careful scrutiny. Therefore, it is not enough to set forth invention in the specification. Finally, the claims must also reflect the scope and breath of applicant's inventtion. In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44 USPQ2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

Application/Control Number: 10/695,707

Art Unit: 2121

Limitations appearing in the specification but not recited in the claim are not read into the claim.

In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-551(CCPA 1969).

Correspondence Information

7. Any inquires concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael B. Holmes, who may be reached Monday through

Friday, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. EST. or via telephone at (571) 272-3686 or facsimile

transmission (571) 273-3686 or email Michael.holmesb@uspto.gov.

If you need to send an Official facsimile transmission, please send it to (571) 273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful the Examiner's Supervisor, Anthony

Knight, may be reached at (571) 272-3687.

Hand-delivered responses should be delivered to the Receptionist @ (Customer Service

Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22313), located on the first floor

of the south side of the Randolph Building.

Michael B. Holmes

Page 4

Patent Examiner
Artificial Intelligence

Art Unit 2121

United States Department of Commerce

Patent & Trademark Office

Wednesday, March 29, 2006

**MBH** 

Anthony Knight

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600